Peer Review Comments

Summary

Overall, you all did a really great job creating a visually dynamic and appealing document! You have not only created an effective tutorial but also taught us ways to improve our own document. We have starred what we think are the comments of most importance. Thank you for reviewing our document as well!

Praises

- The colored background works very well with the document
- The graphics are visually appealing and dynamic
 - The inclusion of various arrows and circles work really well to guide the user
- Structure is easy to follow and flows well
- The highlighted text for notes is greatly appreciated and works well to bring attention to specific sections of the document
- The typography is consistent throughout

Comments/Suggestions

- *The page numbers on the table of contents are not aligned and the leading is a little off throughout the document
- *The group can consider removing "pg." from table of contents and using leading dots to the numbers right-aligned
- *Document is missing page numbers
- Some of the images can be cropped more for clarity
- Spacing between lines within, specifically on Adding, Editing, and Deleting Comments, is a little tight. It could be helpful to add more spacing to allow for better readability
- There is a section at the top of one of the pages that includes random placeholder text
- Maybe consider removing the underlining for subheaders as the color already catches the user's attention
- You may be able to increase the font size to fill areas of white space

Ouestions

- What is the difference between "Click" and "Choose" on your document?
- Are there working links on your document?
 - If so, we weren't able to actually use the links
- Are you all still in progress with the document and style guide?

-	Asking because of the "Edit for consistency notes" and inclusion of placeholder text